

Speaker 1 ([00:00](#)):

Don't you think COVID-19 happened? Theory conveniently. And what's your opinion on SARS? COVID two origin.

Speaker 2 ([00:08](#)):

I'm increasingly convinced that the data are showing in the sequence data indicate that this was an engineered virus. Was it engineered through natural selection and passaging and in animals and animal models that were engineered, um, there, or was it, it was engineered through molecular mechanisms, like, uh, the, uh, CRISPR CAS nine system, but it was engineered and that's the appearance. Um, I have, I have some unique insight into this. I think a little bit unique. I've shared it before. No, it's, uh, it's not classified. Um, I first shared it with a writer former group when they were, uh, asking me to testify in Germany. Um, I was called by a CIA officer on January 4th, who was in Wuhan telling me I needed to get my group spun up because this virus was a major threat. Okay. He was there under cover of his Harvard medical student, his Harvard medical school appointment.

Speaker 2 ([01:15](#)):

He was in Wu Han in the fourth quarter of 2019. His name is Michael Callahan. He is a CIA officer. He is one of our top experts in bio warfare. He has directed programs on gain of function research. Okay. He has held a senior position at DARPA. He wasn't on at the time and he was very familiar with what was happening with this virus. Later a month later, he told me we have looked at this virus and it has no genetic hallmarks of any kind of laboratory manipulation. Okay. Then about a month and a half ago, very senior colleague of mine. Who's a GS 15. That's a very high that's the civilian equivalent of a Brigadier general who works for DOD called me. And I've known this guy since he was a post-doc. He called me and he said, Robert, I want you to start talking about Mike Callahan.

Speaker 2 ([02:17](#)):

He was not in China. He lied. We had no personnel there at that time. Okay. Now for me, that's a red flag. That's basically saying this is a high level government official in defense threat reduction agency that I've worked with for decades telling me straight out to shut up and what I know from my own ears and eyes. Isn't true. Now, the problem with that is that Michael went on the record with science magazine and there's a whole article about Vermont Nadine in which Michael talks about having been in Wuhan at that time. Michael, I know Michael escaped Wohan as the quarantine was dropping boat because he wasn't authorized to be there by the communist party. Okay. And what did they do with him after they picked him up off the boat, he then managed the diamond princess outbreak. And then he came back and worked for Bob Cadillac at Asper and HHS overseeing Barta.

Speaker 2 ([03:17](#)):

Okay. So, you know, where we involved in that the documents are pretty clearly showing that that gain of function research in that Wilham lab was funded by the NIH and was funded by DARPA. I mean, sorry, I'm sorry. Detroit defense threat reduction agency, the people that I work closely with. Okay. And the thing about gain of function research is called dual purpose research. Dual purpose research is classified by its very nature, okay? Because it can be used. Why is it called dual-purpose research? It can be used for by defense and protection and knowledge. It can also be used for by a warfare. There's another aspect to this that I mentioned to the Germans and people haven't really recognized. There's a hole in the bio warfare treaty. Everybody needs to know this globally, the bio warfare treaty that was signed with the Soviet union by the United States government, as a consequence of us developing highly lethal

by a warfare agents that would stop tank commanders from the Soviet union on a Blitzkrieg before they got to the English channel.

Speaker 2 ([04:32](#)):

That's why we developed it. Okay. That treaty prohibits lethal agents. It does not prohibit incapacitating agents. Okay. And incapacitating agents aren't are not blocked. We are not the people that I work with know that incapacitating agents represent a major threat and the converse is also true. Would you explain to us what are those agents and how do they work? Classic examples are the equine encephalitis viruses. They are readily aerosolized. You can inhale them. We're developing vaccines and drugs against them, and they can be deployed into a local area. And if you inhale them and get infected, you get encephalitis, you get a brain infection. It typically doesn't kill you, but it makes you so sick that you're not going to fight. So the new warfare, the new world is all about special ops. It's about small strike teams. We've seen that seals. And we hear these words.

Speaker 2 ([05:43](#)):

That's where modern warfare is. It's small strike teams backed by drones. This is the new warfare. It's not big tanks and armies that's that's yesterday. Okay. It's small S highly competent strike forces that are able to be inserted to take out a tactical target in the tactical region or do whatever the task is and backed by drones, uh, various types. There's a whole spectrum of drones. I mean, one of the big, I was with a, uh, security expert that does security for very, very wealthy people, you know, business leaders and stuff like that. The thing he's most worried about is consumer drones as assassination tools. Okay, that's the new world we're in. And these kinds of incapacitating agents can be deployed by drone strategically to knock out threats within a region. And then one can insert your strike forces to go do whatever it is they want to do.

Speaker 2 ([06:43](#)):

The other threat that is, that is that our government is very aware of is that by understanding the genetics of different populations or go races, it's possible theoretically to engineer pathogens that will selectively target different ethnic groups. Um, that's the new horizon, that's the new reality. And we kinda got it all get attuned to that's. What we're dealing with is a totally different world in which biologic agents, drones, and other things, and media manipulation, propaganda control censorship is all integrated to enable, um, uh, global power. I mean, if you look at the Pfizer contracts, that'll relate. Okay. And in I've had lawyer friends that looked at those that went good. Heavens I can't imagine that I would ever get terms like that. You know, I, I'm a slacker look at what Pfizer's done. This is amazing. They're leveraging governments. Okay. What, if you step back from that and look at, you know, from above what's going on, it's a new OPEC access to these technologies of rapid response vaccine development is being leveraged for geopolitical benefit.

Speaker 2 ([08:13](#)):

Okay. It is, it is a new vehicle for exerting global economic and political power and the developing countries and the middle east know it. They know they're being had by the Western nations. China knows it. China is busy trying to do a deal with Pfizer right now, by the way. Okay. Um, the thing about the RNA tech is not these vaccines. These vaccines are just the entry point. The RNA tech makes it possible to make customized vaccines fast. Okay. Based on genetic information and the whole manufacturing process is standardized. Whereas with regular vaccines and regular biologics, it takes a long, long time to develop a manufacturing process and release and testing and blah, blah, blah, for a

new product. With the RNA tech. Basically you just change the sequence inside the RNA and the whole rest of the formulation chemically. It stays the same and it makes it possible to make these things really fast.

Speaker 2 ([09:21](#)):

And it's small scale that is transformational it's transformational for personalized medicine cancer, but it also makes it possible to make customized vaccines on short notice, um, that can be deployed into, you know, small populations. If, if you're, if you think that the bad guys, metaphorically speaking have come up with some new pathogen and they're working on it in this geographic area, okay. It means that you, once you get a sample of that pathogen, you can sequence it. You can generate a vaccine for it. You can vaccinate your special forces. They can go in and deploy and take out whatever that group is. That's building this new thing. That's one version of that story. Okay. Another version of that story is if you want to come up with a new weaponized incapacitating agent, then it's really easy to make a new vaccine to protect the people that are going to deploy that strategically into fill in the blank area. Now, would our government ever do that? Oh, I can't imagine. Has the CIA ever assassinated duly elected leaders in other countries? Uh, like I don't think I have enough fingers to count. Right. Um, uh, so this, this is the reality that's behind all this and, uh, we need to wake up.

Speaker 2 ([10:42](#)):

That was a riff.

Speaker 1 ([10:45](#)):

Wow. This is, this is actually even scary to think about. And yet it's this reality. And like you said, this it's unfolding before our eyes, but like you sets, there are strategies that people can use to try to try to resist. I know that the enemy's powerful and well organized and well funded, but, um, there, there is a chance, like you said, organizing and informing people of the thread that they may be not even aware of. This is very important. And I believe that's how our conversations D was a step in that direction. I hope so. Yeah, I really do so. Thank you very much, sir, for, for hosting us here in this beautiful D and your beautiful farm and, uh, for your candidate in very, very strong arguments. We do appreciate it.

Speaker 2 ([11:41](#)):

My pleasure. I think, I think, um, knowledge and information is the one thing I can help with. And I, I, I'm not going to go lead. I'm not a leader of major protests and social movements, uh, but I, I appreciate your willingness to provide an opportunity to share what I know and, uh, your interest in the topic and your leadership. And as somebody in alternative media is willing and able to provide information to people and let them make their own decisions.

Speaker 1 ([12:24](#)):

Thank you very much, Dr. Malone for this amazing conversation. This is Veronica Kirilenko for the new American

Speaker 3 ([12:38](#)):

[inaudible].